

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,282	07/14/2003	James D. Pylant	067810/0303800 PI-015	7725	
7590 10/29/2004			EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP 2550 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304			SAKRAN, VICTOR N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	$\mathcal{A}$			
Office Action Summary		10/620,2	82	PYLANT ET AL.	D			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		VICTOR	N SAKRAN	3677				
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	dress			
• •			O EVDIDE 2 MONI	TH(S) EDOM				
THE MAILING DAT  - Extensions of time may lafter SIX (6) MONTHS fr  - If the period for reply sp  - If NO period for reply is:  - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	FATUTORY PERIOD FOR TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO THE AVAILABLE AND	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply buttory minimum of thirty (30) vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS blication to become ABAND	be timely filed  days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this coonsidered (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive t	o communication(s) filed	on 14 July 2003.						
•								
<u>'</u>								
closed in acc	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-26</i>	is/are pending in the app	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>								
7) Claim(s)								
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction	on and/or election i	requirement.					
Application Papers			•					
9)☐ The specificat	tion is objected to by the I	Examiner.			•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may	not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement of	drawing sheet(s) including th	ne correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or d	eclaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. N	ote the attached Of	fice Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgm	ent is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	en received.					
	ed copies of the priority do		• •					
	s of the certified copies of ation from the Internationa	•		eived in this National	Stage			
	ed detailed Office action	•	• • •	eived.				
			٠					
Attachment(s)		•	·					
1) Notice of References		0.40	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
	r's Patent Drawing Review (PTC statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT			nal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		•	6)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-20 and 23-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans, III et al U. S. patent No. 5,983,468 (cited by Applicant) in view of Vasudeva et al U. S. Patent No. 6,283,291.

Evans, III et al discloses the general combination claimed of an apparatus formed of rigid plastic material for securing together a tray adapted to hold electronic.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

articles and a cover so that may be stacked together to provide multiple storage levels comprising a base (12) forming a bottom portion of the apparatus, first and second restraining segments (28, 30) formed with the base portion defining a channel structure for the insertion and the removal of the stacked trays, wherein each of said restraining segments is provided with a protrusion means (40, 42) extending inwardly for releasably engaging the cover of the tray. Note that the bottom portion (12) is bowed so as to form a central apex which engages the lower planar portion of the tray, and by a simple up and down motion the stacked trays are adapted to be engaged and disengaged from said apparatus; see Figures 1-3, 6; the abstract; column 1, lines 5-7; column 2, lines 30-34, 46-50, 57-63, and claims 1-4, except that the reference to Evans, III et al does not discloses first and second resilient members (pressure members) extending from the base portion. Vasudeva et al teaches the use of a base portion provided with first and second resilient members (7) in an apparatus for a tray holding a tray (1) and a cover (2); see Figures 3, 8, 9,12, 23; column 3, lines 43-48. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the bottom portion (12) in Evans, III et al with first and second resilient spring members instead of the apex portion for releasably securing the stacked trays within the channel of its apparatus in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Vasudeva et al, especially since such modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,282

Art Unit: 3677

As to the method steps as recited in claims 23 and 26, it would obviously and inherently be within the scope of the references as applied.

Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

Moreover, the particular location of the resilient members and/or the arrangement selected of an elements is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within the art, especially, since it has been held that rearranging pa an invention is involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Claims 21 and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the same references as applied to claims 16 and 17, above, and further in view of Wentland, Jr. et al U. S. Patent No. 5,461,541 who teaches the use of an enclosure for a printed circuit board comprising first and second side walls, each of said side walls is provided with a protrusion means and a first resilient spring member (40) attached to the first protrusion and a second resilient member attached to the second protrusion means for releasably securing said enclosure; see Figures 1, 2; column 4, lines 31-40, and claim 1, and to further incorporate such structure in Evans, III et al by merely modifying the location of the resilient

Art Unit: 3677

spring members in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Wentland, Jr. et al it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the art cited herein, and of record, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,282 Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 27, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677